

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
AT CHATTANOOGA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
) Case No. 1:10-cr-84
v.)
) Judge Mattice/Steger
ELLIS HUGHLETT)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

ELLIS HUGHLETT (“Defendant”) came before the Court for an initial appearance on July 5, 2016, in accordance with Rule 32.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure on the Petition for Warrant for Offender Under Supervision (“Petition”).

After being sworn in due form of law, Defendant was informed of his privilege against self-incrimination under the 5th Amendment and his right to counsel under the 6th Amendment to the United States Constitution.

The Court determined Defendant wished to be represented by an attorney and that he qualified for the appointment of an attorney to represent him at government expense. Consequently, the Court APPOINTED Anthony Martinez of Federal Defender Services of Eastern Tennessee, Inc. to represent Defendant.

Defendant was furnished with a copy of the Petition, and had an opportunity to review those documents with his attorney. The Court determined that Defendant was able to read and understand the documents with the assistance of his counsel. In addition, AUSA Perry Piper explained to Defendant the specific charges contained in the Petition. Defendant acknowledged that he understood the charges in the Petition.

The Government moved Defendant be detained pending a hearing before Judge Mattice to determine whether his term of supervised release should be revoked. The Court explained Defendant’s right to a preliminary hearing and detention hearing and what those hearings entail. Defendant conferred with his counsel and requested that the preliminary hearing and detention hearing be waived.

The Court finds that Defendant has committed multiple violations of his conditions of supervised release, and that Defendant has not carried the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he will not flee or pose a danger to any other person or to the community. Consequently, the Court GRANTED the Government’s oral motion to detain Defendant pending his revocation hearing.

It is, therefore, ORDERED that:

1. Defendant shall appear in a revocation hearing before U.S. District Judge Mattice on **July 18, 2016, at 9:00 a.m.**
2. The government's motion that Defendant be DETAINED WITHOUT BAIL pending his revocation hearing before Judge Mattice is **GRANTED**.
3. The U.S. Marshal shall transport Defendant to the revocation hearing before Judge Mattice at the aforementioned date and time.

SO ORDERED.

ENTER.

s/Christopher H. Steger
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE